

**From:** [Robert Neely](#)  
**To:** [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [Ben Meyer](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Genevieve Angle](#); [Katherine Pease](#); [Mary Baker](#); [Megan Callahan-Grant](#); [Nancy Munn](#)  
**Subject:** Re: EPA spreadsheet with summary results of the Portland Harbor baseline ecological risk assessment (BERA)  
**Date:** 04/06/2010 03:42 PM  
**Attachments:** [2010-04-06\\_PHSummaryBERAHQsLOE.xlsx](#)  
[robert\\_neely.vcf](#)

---

Thanks Burt.

Hey Gang -- I did a really crude binning of Burt's work and characterized each contaminant by which bin it falls into (a bin being the number of LOEs for a given contaminant that exceed an HQ). It's not intended to say anything about risk to salmonids specifically, just helps to see which chemicals are likely to be problems in a general sense.

R

Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

> Rob,  
>  
> As we discussed on this morning's call, attached is the spreadsheet I've  
> been compiling of what I believe the chemicals of ecological concern  
> (i.e. chemicals with hazard quotients greater than or equal to 1.0)  
> should be in the BERA. The attached is not quite complete,  
> specifically, I have not calculated hazard quotients for generic  
> sediment quality benchmarks that are in organic carbon normalized  
> concentration units. These are mostly some of the PAHs, legacy  
> insecticides, and a few of the semivolatiles such as phthalates and  
> chlorinated benzenes. I have calculated hazard quotients for the  
> generic sediment quality benchmarks with units of dry weight bulk  
> sediment (usually mg/kg or µg/kg, some of the dioxins/furans are ng/kg),  
> as these were not presented in the BERA anywhere I can find.  
>  
> I also haven't checked all of the Round 3 data that was not available  
> for screening in the screening level ecological risk assessment, but  
> have checked the Round 3 surface water, sediment, and fish tissues.  
> This added a few new chemicals not identified in the screening level  
> ecological risk assessment, such as tributyltin in surface water. There  
> may be a few additional chemicals that get added to the list of  
> chemicals of concern at the conclusion of the BERA, but the attached  
> should cover the vast majority of them, and likely has all of the  
> chemicals with the largest hazard quotients in the list of chemicals of  
> concern.  
>  
> The spreadsheet also has summaries of the number of chemicals of concern  
> for each line of evidence in the BERA (Row 110), as well as the number  
> of lines of evidence for which each chemical of concern has a maximum  
> hazard quotient greater than 1.0 (Column BT).  
>  
> Give me a call if you have questions.  
>  
> Best regards,  
>  
> Burt Shephard  
> Risk Evaluation Unit  
> Office of Environmental Assessment (OEA-095)  
> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10  
> 1200 6th Avenue  
> Seattle, WA 98101  
>  
> Telephone: (206) 553-6359  
> Fax: (206) 553-0119  
>  
> e-mail: Shephard.Burt@epa.gov  
>  
> "If your experiment needs statistics to analyze the results, then you  
> ought to have done a better experiment"  
> - Ernest Rutherford  
>  
> (See attached file: Summary of BERA HQs.xlsx)

--

Robert Neely  
Regional Resources Coordinator  
NOAA Office of Response and Restoration  
(206)526-6617 Office  
(206)617-5443 Mobile